

Expéditeur : le BUREAU INTERNATIONAL

PCT

NOTIFICATION DE TRANSMISSION DE COPIES DE
LA TRADUCTION DU RAPPORT D'EXAMEN
PRELIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL

(règle 72.2 du PCT)

Destinataire :

LEHU, Jean
c/o Brevatome
3, rue du Docteur Lancereaux
F-75008 Paris
FRANCE

BREVATOME

16 MAI 2005

3, rue du Docteur Lancereaux
75008 PARIS

NOTIFICATION IMPORTANTE

Date d'expédition (jour/mois/année) 12 mai 2005 (12.05.2005)	
Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire B 14078 JL	
Demande internationale n° PCT/FR2003/050045	Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année) 01 septembre 2003 (01.09.2003)
Déposant COMMISSARIAT A L'ENERGIE ATOMIQUE etc	

1. Transmission de la traduction au déposant.

Le Bureau international transmet ci-joint copie de la traduction en langue anglaise qu'il a faite du rapport d'examen préliminaire international établi par l'administration chargée de l'examen préliminaire international.

2. Transmission d'une copie de la traduction aux offices élus.

Le Bureau international notifie au déposant qu'une copie de cette traduction a été transmise aux offices élus suivants qui exigent la traduction en question:

Aucun

Les offices élus suivants ont renoncé à l'exigence selon laquelle la transmission doit être effectuée à cette date; ils recevront une copie de cette traduction du Bureau international seulement à leur demande:

EP, JP, US

3. Rappel concernant la traduction dans la ou l'une des langues officielles de l'office ou des offices élus.

Il est rappelé au déposant que, lorsqu'une traduction de la demande internationale doit être remise à un office élu, cette traduction doit comporter la traduction de toute annexe du rapport d'examen préliminaire international.

Il appartient au déposant d'établir la traduction en question et de la remettre directement à chaque office élu intéressé (règle 74.1). Voir le volume II du Guide du déposant du PCT pour de plus amples renseignements.

Bureau international de l'OMPI 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Genève 20, Suisse	Fonctionnaire autorisé Beate Giffo-Schmitt
n° de télécopieur+41 22 740 14 35	n° de télécopieur+41 22 338 87 20

Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/FR2003/050045



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference B 14078 JL	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/FR2003/050045	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 septembre 2003 (01.09.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03 septembre 2002 (03.09.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H01L 29/872		
Applicant COMMISSARIAT A L'ENERGIE ATOMIQUE		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>4</u> sheets, including this cover sheet. <input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of _____ sheets.
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 26 mars 2004 (26.03.2004)	Date of completion of this report 15 December 2004 (15.12.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP Facsimile No.	Authorized officer Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FR2003/050045

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

☐ the international application as originally filed

☒ the description:

pages 1-19, as originally filed

pages _____, filed with the demand

pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

☒ the claims:

pages 1-12, as originally filed

pages _____, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19

pages _____, filed with the demand

pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

☒ the drawings:

pages 1/5-5/5, as originally filed

pages _____, filed with the demand

pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

☐ the sequence listing part of the description:

pages _____, as originally filed

pages _____, filed with the demand

pages _____, filed with the letter of _____

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:

☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).

☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).

☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

☐ contained in the international application in written form.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.

☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.

☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

☐ the description, pages _____

☐ the claims, Nos. _____

☐ the drawings, sheets/fig _____

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).

** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FR 03/50045

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	2, 5, 11, 12	YES
	Claims	1, 3, 4, 6-10	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	2, 5, 11, 12	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

1. This report makes reference to the following document:

D1: WO 00/49661 A (KONINKL PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NV), 24 August 2000 (2000-08-24)

2. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-10 does not meet the requirement of PCT Article 33(2) for novelty.

The feature "**epitaxially grown**" cannot be taken into consideration for the examination of novelty because this feature relates to a method.

Document D1 describes (see figures 4 and 9, and the corresponding text; the references in parentheses are to that document):

a **power** semiconductor device (since it is a LDMOS-type transistor) comprising a layer of the SOI type (1) and electric connection means (15) that extend through the insulating layer (20), connecting the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/FR 03/50045

epitaxially grown material (22; 32) to the contact (25) located on the rear surface.

The disclosure in claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-10 is therefore not novel.

3. The features of claims 2, 5, 11 and 12 are only some of several obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art would select to solve the stated problem, according to the circumstances, without being inventive.